

# Horizon Europe Work Programme



## BIG LEAP

**Next Generation of Battery Management Systems to increase Interoperability, bridge the Gap between 1st and SL-BESS, Extend Adaptability and emPower battery value chains**

### D1.3 - BIG LEAP'S Key performance matrix and metrics

Lead Contractor: BRING

Project Coordinator: Imane Worighi

Authors and organisation(s):

Costantino Laureanti, Carmen Leticia Castrejon Barron (BRING); Paolo Cutrone, Cristiana Lisi, Luca Rotondaro (RES4Africa Foundation)

Date: 29/08/2024

Doc. Version: 2.0



Project funded by



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft  
Confédération suisse  
Confederazione Svizzera  
Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Economic Affairs,  
Education and Research EAER,  
State Secretariat for Education,  
Research and Innovation SERI

Co-funded by the European Union under grant agreement 101137815. Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or CINEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

PROJECT DETAILS			
<b>Project name</b>	Next Generation of Battery Management Systems to increase Interoperability, bridge the Gap between 1st and SL-BESS, Extend Adaptability and emPower battery value chains		
<b>Start</b>	01/01/2024	<b>Duration</b>	42M
<b>Project acronym</b>	BIG LEAP	<b>GA number</b>	101137815
<b>Topic identifier</b>	HORIZON-CL5-2023-D2-01-04	<b>Call identifier</b>	HORIZON-CL5-2023-D2-01
<b>Type of Action</b>	Horizon IA	<b>Coordinator</b>	BRING
<b>Project Coordinator</b>	Imane Worighi	Imane.worighi@bringvzw.be	
<b>Project Manager</b>	Costantino Laureanti	<a href="mailto:costantino.laureanti@bringvzw.be">costantino.laureanti@bringvzw.be</a>	
<b>Website</b>	<a href="https://bigleaproject.eu/">https://bigleaproject.eu/</a>		

DELIVERABLE DETAILS			
<b>Number</b>	1.3		
<b>Title</b>	BIG LEAP'S Key performance matrix and metrics		
<b>Short description</b>	Definition of a comprehensive project's Key Performance Matrix, including detailed benchmark for all project's KPI, review of methodologies looking for room for improvements & Performance metrics, metrology, and foundations for further business cases developments		
<b>Work Package</b>	WP1	<b>Task</b>	1.3
<b>Type</b>	R- Report	<b>Dissemination level</b>	SEN - Sensitive
<b>Due date (M)</b>	M8	<b>Submission date (M)</b>	M8
<b>Deliverable responsible</b>	BRING	<b>Contact</b>	Imane Worighi

### DELIVERABLE CONTRIBUTORS

	Name	Organisation	E-mail
<b>Deliverable Author</b>	Costantino Laureanti Carmen Leticia Castrejon Barron	BRING	<a href="mailto:costantino.laureanti@bringvzw.be">costantino.laureanti@bringvzw.be</a> <a href="mailto:carmen.barron@bringvzw.be">carmen.barron@bringvzw.be</a>
<b>Contributing Author(s)</b>	Cristiana Lisi Luca Rotondaro	RES4A	<a href="mailto:cristiana.lisi@res4africa.org">cristiana.lisi@res4africa.org</a> <a href="mailto:luca.rotondaro@res4africa.org">luca.rotondaro@res4africa.org</a>
<b>Internal Reviewer(s)</b>	Paolo Cutrone	RES4A	<a href="mailto:paolo.cutrone@res4africa.org">paolo.cutrone@res4africa.org</a>
<b>Internal Reviewer(s)</b>	Stefan Waldhör	FHG	<a href="mailto:stefan.waldhoer@iisb.fraunhofer.de">stefan.waldhoer@iisb.fraunhofer.de</a>
<b>External Reviewer(s)</b>	Shanmukha Siddharth Tata	TATA POWER	<a href="mailto:shanmukha.tata@tatapower.com">shanmukha.tata@tatapower.com</a>
<b>External Reviewer(s)</b>	Emeric Brun Thomas Salez	EDF	<a href="mailto:emeric.brun@edf.fr">emeric.brun@edf.fr</a> <a href="mailto:thomas.salez@edf.fr">thomas.salez@edf.fr</a>
<b>Final review and quality approval</b>	Imane Worighi	BRING	<a href="mailto:Imane.worighi@bringvzw.be">Imane.worighi@bringvzw.be</a>

### DOCUMENT HISTORY

Date	Version	Name	Changes
05/06/2024	0.1	Costantino Laureanti	Draft
12/07/2024	0.2	Costantino Laureanti	Contributions from RES4A added.
27/07/2024	0.3	Costantino Laureanti	Contributions from Inegi added.
31/07/2024	1.0	Costantino Laureanti	Document Updated
28/08/2024	2.0	Costantino Laureanti	Document updated with reviewers inputs.



# TABLE OF CONTENT

Executive summary.....	7
Acronyms and abbreviations.....	8
Introduction.....	9
1. Structure and description of contents .....	10
2. KPIs: Key Information.....	10
2.1 Overview of KPI Typology .....	11
3. BIG LEAP Project KPIs.....	13
3.1 Objective 1.....	13
3.1.1 Improve the Re-integration of BMS Components Through Design ....	14
3.1.2 Fast and Safe-by-Design Strategies for EoL Diagnosis and Battery Recalibration .....	14
3.1.3 Battery System Operation and Battery Reconfiguration at Module Level .....	14
3.1.4 Repurposing/Refurbishment Reduction Cost for Adapting Used FL Batteries to Stationary SL-BESS Applications.....	15
3.1.5 Reduction of Strategic Dependencies for Raw Critical Materials.....	15
3.1.6 Increase of First Life Battery Modules Integration into Stationary Applications.....	16
3.1.7 Increase BMS Electronic Lifetime.....	16
3.1.8 Reduce the Cost of Battery Cabinet and BPU Manufacturing Through Design .....	16
3.1.9 Increase Battery Lifetime .....	16
3.2 Objective 2.....	17
3.2.1 Identification of Reliable Databases and In-Time Data Set Requirements .....	17
3.2.2 Development of Digital Twins Embedded in Cloud-BMS Software...	18
3.2.3 Reduction of Computational Cost for Developing SoX Estimations.	18
3.2.4 Increase Embedded Adaptiveness in Battery SoX Estimators .....	18



- 3.2.5 Increase the Current Accuracy of Interoperable SoX Parameters... 18
- 3.2.6 Generation of Battery Archives on BMS Infrastructures ..... 19
- 3.2.7 Record Operating Data in a Statistical Data Frame on the Edge Device for Better Triage at FL EOL. Increase Confidence Level of SL Applications. 19
- 3.2.8 Decrease of Data Preprocessing for ML/DL SOH Estimation ..... 19
- 3.2.9 Increase BMS Data Quality from Battery Operation High-Frequency Sampling on Edge vs Low-Frequency on Cloud ..... 19
- 3.3 Objective 3..... 20
  - 3.3.1 Improve the BMS Interoperability ..... 20
  - 3.3.2 Increase the Energy Efficiency of the BMS System.....21
  - 3.3.3 BMS Adaptability to Different Sizes and Configurations.....21
  - 3.3.4 Communication Interfaces and Commands .....21
  - 3.3.5 Increase reliability of Remote maintenance features .....21
  - 3.3.6 Open source BMS..... 22
  - 3.3.7 Improve energy and process efficiency in data logging and processing..... 22
  - 3.3.8 Communications for interaction between OEMs and battery passport entities..... 22
  - 3.3.9 Increase the safety of reintegration ..... 22
- 3.4 Objective 4..... 22
  - 3.4.1 Reduce commissioning time from FL to SL use cases ..... 23
  - 3.4.2 Demonstrate SL-BESS quick response time ..... 23
  - 3.4.3 Demonstrate System Capability to Operate in All Grid Scenarios... 24
  - 3.4.4 Evaluation of the SoS Indicator Throughout Physical and Virtual Tests ..... 24
  - 3.4.5 SoH Indicator in Physical and Virtual Tests ..... 25
  - 3.4.6 Demonstrated Safe Operation of SL-BESS Under Varying Load Profiles and Environmental Conditions..... 25
  - 3.4.7 Demonstrated SL-BESS Operation for Commercial Building Support ..... 25



3.4.8 Workforce Time Reduction for SLB.....	26
3.5 Objective 5.....	26
3.5.1 Creation of Business Models and New Revenue Stream Scenarios. 27	
3.5.2 Decrease of SL-BESS acquisition costs for its owners and Levelized Cost of Storage for SL-BESS stakeholders.....	27
3.5.3 Increase of revenue streams for FL-Batteries OEMs.....	27
3.5.4 Growth of market and technological employment.....	28
3.5.5 Engagement of African and Indian Stakeholders to Boost Technology Implementation.....	28
3.5.6 Development of Reliable and Accurate Life Cycle Inventories for BMS Testing.....	29
3.5.7 To Increase Within the Project the Amount of FL Batteries That Go to SL-BESS Before Recycling and Model Future Scenarios.....	29
3.6 Objective 6.....	29
3.6.1 Data Requirement Harmonization for Standard SoX Estimators.....	30
3.6.2 Novel Battery Standards for SL-BESS.....	30
3.6.3 Standard SL-ESS Design for Heterogeneous SL Battery Markets.....	31
3.6.4 Strategy to Cope with BMS Public Structures.....	31
3.6.4 Standardization Bodies Involvement.....	31
4. TRLs and KPI Implementation.....	32
5. Performance Metrics Study and Comparison of DBP Statements Across Different Regions.....	33
5.1 Methodology.....	35
6. The European Approach.....	35
6.1 The DPP: a general overview.....	35
6.2 The initiatives carried out in Europe.....	45
7. Asia's Regulatory Environment.....	46
8. Africa's Regulatory Environment.....	49
9. Comparative Analysis.....	49
10. Case Studies.....	51



11. Partners Spotlight.....53

12. Recommendations.....55

Conclusion.....57

**References.....57**

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Big Leap KPI Matrix, Key Information .....11

Table 2 Objective 1 (O1): List of KPIs..... 13

Table 3 Percentage of Critical Raw Materials supplied to the EU by the Main Global Suppliers ..... 15

Table 4 Objective 2 (O2): List of KPIs .....17

Table 5 Objective 3 (O3): List of KPIs..... 20

Table 6 Objective 4 (O4): List of KPIs..... 23

Table 7 Objective 5 (O5): List of KPIs ..... 26

Table 8 Objective 6 (O6): List of KPIs..... 29

Table 9 Structure and content of the digital battery passport..... 37

Table 10 Comparison between Europe, Asia, Africa. Source: R4A’s analysis. .... 51

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 KPIs Criteria ..... 9

## Executive summary

Performance metrics play a pivotal role in the success of the BIG LEAP project. The deliverable D1.3 with the title *BIG LEAP'S Key performance matrix and metrics*, as an outcome of the work done in Task 1.3 of the Work Package 1, aims to outline the significance of these metrics by describing the process through which KPIs will be defined, monitored, and utilized to enhance business cases and operational methodologies.

In Task 1.3, BRING is responsible for the design and development of the KPI matrix, benchmarking project metrics, and studying the latest methodologies to maximize the impact of the project objectives, as outlined in the Grant Agreement of the project. The results presented in this deliverable, have been accomplished with the collaborative commitment between the expertise of the partners, each with specific roles, to ensure the achievement of the project objectives and to address regulatory challenges on a global scale.

At the same time, RES4Africa contributed by evaluating performance parameters and comparing business case statements (DBPs) in various regions (Europe, Africa, Asia) to highlight regulatory gaps.